| Interview Summary | 09/925,109 | SWEATT ET AL. | |
|--|------------------|---------------|--|
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | DOUGLAS B. BLAIR | 2442 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | |
| (1) <u>DOUGLAS B. BLAIR</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>David Grabelsky</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 29 June 2009. | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \boxtimes N/A. | | | |
| reached, or any other comments: Mr. Grabelsky inquired about the reasoning of the non-compliant notice mailed on 6/15/2009. After discussing the MPEP, the Examiner agreed that the 4/30/2009 amendment was compliant and would be acted upon. The Notice of Non-Compliant amendment has been withdrawn. The Examiner apologizes for the the mistake. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | |
| /Douglas B Blair/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2442 | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)